arXiv:1412.1363v1 [math.NA] 3 Dec 2014

Iterative Splitting Methods: Almost Asymptotic Symplectic Integrator for Stochastic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

Jürgen Geiser *

December 4, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we present splitting methods which are based on iterative schemes and applied to stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We will design stochastic integrators which almost conserve the symplectic structure.

The idea is based on rewriting an iterative splitting approach as a successive approximation method based on a contraction mapping principle and that we have an almost symplectic scheme, see [12] and [9].

We apply a stochastic differential equation, that we can decouple into a deterministic and stochatic part, while each part can be solved analytically. Such decompositions allow accelerating the methods and preserving, under suitable conditions, the symplecticity of the schemes.

A numerical analysis and application to the stochastic Schrödunger equation are presented.

Keywords: splitting methods, stochastic differential equations, iterative splitting schemes, stochastic Schrödinger equation.

AMS subject classifications. 35K25, 35K20, 74S10, 70G65.

1 Introduction

The motivation is to develop fast solver schemes to solve stochastic Hamiltonians in solitary waves and collisions.

The idea is based on almost asymptotic symplecticity for stochastic Hamiltonian partial differential equations, such underlying algorithms are applied to develop stochastic symplectic methods for solving a stochastic Schroedinger equations, see [12].

*University of Greifswald, Institute of Physics, Felix-Hausdorff-Str. 6, D-17489 Greifswald, Germany, E-mail: jgeiser@uni-greifswald.de

It is shown that the noval schemes preserve the symplectic structure in an asymptotic regime, which means it is $\mathcal{O}(\delta^{n+1})$ away from a symplectic scheme with $\delta \in (0,1)$.

Definition 1.1. *We consider a Hamiltonian system, while $u = (p,q)$ and we write:*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f(u(t)) = J\nabla_u H(u(t)), \tag{1}$$

where $(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_d \\ I_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and I_d is the d-dimensional identity matrix, ∇_u is the gradient with respect to u.

We assume that ϕ_τ is the solution operator with $u(t^{n+1}) = \phi\tau(u^n)$, where τ isthe time step and we have the following definition about the symplecticity:

- *ϕ_τ preserves the symplecticness of the system (1), if:*

$$(\frac{\partial \phi_\tau}{\partial z(t)}^T |_{t=t^n} J(\frac{\partial \phi_\tau}{\partial z(t)}|_{t=t^n} - J = 0, \tag{2}$$

- *ϕ_τ preserves the almost (or asymptotic) symplecticness of the system (1), if:*

$$||(\frac{\partial \phi_\tau}{\partial z(t)}^T |_{t=t^n} J(\frac{\partial \phi_\tau}{\partial z(t)}|_{t=t^n} - J|| \leq C\delta^{m+1}, \tag{3}$$

  where C is a constant with $\tau = \tilde{\tau}(\delta)$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ is a function of δ, which is given from the solution method.

Remark 1.1. *The idea of almost symplecticity has the origin of modifying the definition of symplecticity. For example, if one assume that J depends on u, then one can proof, that we have an almost poisson structure and we preserve the poisson structure up to the second order, see [2]. Such ideas are also used in the development in pseudo-symplectic methods, see [1].*

In the following, we deal with the stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with multiplicative noise, which is given by

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2|u|^{2\sigma}u + \epsilon u \circ \frac{dW}{dt},\ t > 0, \epsilon > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4}$$

where $u = u(x,t)$ is the complex-valued solution and $\circ$ denotes $\frac{dW}{dt}$ is defined as a real-valued white noise which is delta correlated in time and either smooth or delta correlated in space.

The deterministic nonlinear Schroedinger equation is given by

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2|u|^{2\sigma}u, \tag{5}$$

which is well-known in the literature [11].

2 Iterative Splitting as a Successive Approximation Method

We can rewrite this to a Hamiltonian system by

$u = p + iq$, where p and q are real-valued functions and we can separate it into the following form and we obtain a multi-symplectic system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} dP \\ dQ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -H_q(P,Q)dt - G_q(P,Q) \circ dW(t) \\ H_p(P,Q)dt + G_p(P,Q) \circ dW(t) \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

where we have the symplectic structure $dP \wedge dQ = dp \wedge dq$.

The system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} dp \\ dq \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1 + A_2(p,q) \\ -A_1 + A_2(p,q) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} dt \\ &+ \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} \circ dW, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the matrices are given by the semi-discretization of the original system (4).

Theorem 2.1. *The iterative splitting scheme is almost symplectic.*

Proof. For the Hamiltonian system

$$dy = F(y)dt + G(y)dW \tag{8}$$

we apply the successive approximation method:

$$y^{n+1,i+1} = K(y^n, y^{n+1,i}, y^{n+1,i}) = y^n + F(y^{n+1,i+1})\Delta t + G(y^{n+1,i})\Delta W, \tag{9}$$

where we apply the linearised scheme:

$$y^{n+1,i+1} = \tilde{K}(y^n, y^{n+1,i+1}, y^{n+1,i}) = y^n + \tilde{F}y^{n+1,i+1}\Delta t + \tilde{G}y^{n+1,i}\Delta W, \tag{10}$$

further, the contraction mapping is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &||\tilde{K}(y^n, y^{n+1,i+1}, y^{n+1,i}) - \tilde{K}(x^n, x^{n+1,i+1}, x^{n+1,i})|| \\ &\leq \tilde{\rho}||y^{n+1,i+1} - x^{n+1,i+1}||, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\tilde{\rho} = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ and $\rho_1 = \Delta t||\tilde{F}||$ and $\rho_2 = \Delta W||\tilde{G}||$. □

3 Almost Symplectic Scheme

In the following, we discuss the linearised equation in the algorithm.

We have the fixed-splitting discretisation step-size τ, on the time-interval $[t^n, t^{n+1}]$, and the stochastic time step $\Delta W = W_{t^{n+1}} - W_{t^n} = \Delta t X$ (Wiener

process), where X is a Gaussian distributed random variable with $E(X) = 0$ and $Var(X) = 1$, see [10].

We solve the following sub-problems consecutively for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m+1$. (cf. [4]):

$$\begin{aligned} &dy_i(t) = Ay_i(t)dt \ + \ By_{i-1}dW_t(t), \text{ with } \ y_i(t^n) = y^n \\ &\text{and } y_0(t^n) = y^n \ , \ y_{-1} = 0.0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where y^n is the known successive approximation at the time-level $t = t^n$. The split approximation at the time-level $t = t^{n+1}$ is defined by $y^{n+1} = y_{m+1}(t^{n+1})$.

We can rewrite this into the following ODE form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial y_i(t)}{\partial t} = Ay_i(t) \ + \ By_{i-1}\dot{W}_t, \text{ with } \ y_i(t^n) = y^n \\ &\text{and } y_0(t^n) = y^n \ , \ y_{-1} = 0.0, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\dot{W}_t = \frac{dW_t}{dt}$.

Theorem 3.1. *We are given $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega)$ linear bounded operators (e.g., due to the linearisation) and we consider the abstract Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{aligned} dy(t) = Aydt + BydW_t, \quad 0 < t \leq T \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Then the problem (14) has a unique solution; the iterations (13) over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m+1$ are convergent with order $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\Delta t}^{m+1})$.

Proof. The problem (14) has a unique solution $c(t) = \exp((A\ \Delta t + B\ \Delta W)\ c_0$.

For the local error function $e_i(t) = y(t) - y_i(t)$, we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} de_i(t) = Ae_i dt + Be_{i-1}dW_t, \quad t \in (t^n, t^{n+1}], \\ e_i(t^n) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Applying the method of variation of constants, the solution of the abstract Cauchy problem can be written as

$$e_i(t) = \int_{t^n}^{t} \exp(A(t-s))Be_i(s)dW_s, \quad t \in [t^n, t^{n+1}]. \tag{16}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\|e_i\|(t) \leq \|B\|\|e_{i-1}\| \int_{t^n}^{t} \|\exp(A(t-s))\|dW_s, \quad t \in [t^n, t^{n+1}]. \tag{17}$$

Based on our assumption that A is bounded, we have

$$\|e_i\|(t) \leq K\|B\|\sqrt{\Delta t}\|e_{i-1}\|, \quad t \in [t^n, t^{n+1}]. \tag{18}$$

where $||\exp(At)|| \leq K, \ t > 0$.

The estimations (18) result in

$$\|e_{m+1}\| = K_1\sqrt{\Delta t}^{m+1}\|e_0\| + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\Delta t}^{m+2}), \tag{19}$$

which proves our statement. □

Furthermore, the almost asymptotic symplecticity of the scheme (13) is given as:

Theorem 3.2. *Consider the algorithm (13) and let $\phi^i_{\Delta t}$ be the solver step of the algorithm. Then for any $\delta \in (0,1)$, there exists $\sqrt{\tau} \leq \frac{\delta}{K_1}$, where $K_1 = ||B||^{m+1}$ and the time-step $\Delta t \leq \tau$, where m is the number of iterative steps, and we have*

$$(\tfrac{\partial \phi^i_{\Delta t}}{\partial y_0})^t J(\tfrac{\partial \phi^i_{\Delta t}}{\partial y_0}) - J|| \leq C\delta^{m+1}, \forall y_0 \in \Omega, \tag{20}$$

where C is a constant.

Proof. The algorithm (13) has the following solution:

$$\phi^i_{\Delta t} = \exp(A\ \Delta t) y_n + \textstyle\int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \exp(A(t^{n+1} - s))\ B\ y_{i-1}(s)\ dW_s. \tag{21}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tfrac{\partial \phi^i_{\Delta t}}{\partial y_i}\} &\leq ||\exp(A(\Delta t))\ B\ \Delta W_t||, \\ &\leq C||B||\ \sqrt{\Delta t}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and the recursion is given by

$$\|\tfrac{\partial \phi^i_{\Delta t}}{\partial y_0}\} \leq \tilde{C}\ \sqrt{\Delta t}^{i+1}, \tag{23}$$

when the estimations result in

$$\|(\tfrac{\partial \phi^m_{\Delta t}}{\partial y_0})^t J(\tfrac{\partial \phi^m_{\Delta t}}{\partial y_0}) - J|| \leq C\delta^{m+1}, \forall y_0 \in \Omega, \tag{24}$$

and $\sqrt{\tau} \leq \frac{\delta}{K_1}$, which proves our statement.

□

4 Numerical Methods

In the following, we treat the different numerical methods.

The underlying equation is given as

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \lambda \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2|u|^{2\sigma}u + \epsilon u \circ \frac{dW}{dt},\ t > 0, \epsilon > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{25}$$

where the initial values are given as $u_{t_0} = u_0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and W is a Wiener process.

We apply a semi-discretisation via finite difference schemes and obtain the ODE problem

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Au + B(u)u + Cu \circ \frac{dW}{dt},\ t > 0, \epsilon > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{26}$$

where the operators are given by

$$A = \lambda \frac{1}{\Delta x^2}[1\ -2\ 1], \tag{27}$$

$$B(u) = 2|u|^{2\sigma} \tag{28}$$

$$C = \epsilon u, \tag{29}$$

where we apply the different splitting schemes.

4.1 Linearised stochastic Schroedinger equation

We consider the following linearised stochastic Schroedinger equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u + V(x,t)u + \psi|u|^2 u \\
& +\epsilon u \circ \frac{dW}{dt}, (x,t) \in [0,1]\times[0,1], && (30)\\
& u(x,0) = u_0(x), x \in [0,1], && (31)\\
& u(0,t) = u(1,t), t \in [0,1], && (32)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_0(x) = \exp(\sin(2x))$.
We assume periodic boundary conditions $u(x_L,t) = u(x_R,t)$,
where $\Omega = [x_L, x_R]$, e.g. $x_L = 0$, $x_R = 1.0$ and ϵ is small.

We employ the following transformation and change of variables:
$u = \eta + i\xi$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\eta} \\ \dot{\xi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A(t,x,\eta,\xi) \\ -A(t,x,\eta,\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \tag{33}
$$

We apply a finite difference discretisation and the matrices are given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(t,x,\eta,\xi) = A_1(t,t) + A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) + A_3(t,x), && (34)\\
& A_1(t,x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}[1\ -2\ 1], && (35)\\
& A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) = \big(V(x) + 2(\eta^2+\xi^2)\big)\ [0\ 1\ 0], && (36)\\
& A_3(t,x) = \epsilon\Delta W\ [0\ 1\ 0]. && (37)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A_1(t,x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{38}
$$

$$
A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V}(x_1) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{V}(x_2) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{V}(x_3) & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \tilde{V}(x_M) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{39}
$$

$$
\tilde{V}(x_i) = V(x_i) + 2(\eta^2(x_i,t^{n-1}) + \xi^2(x_i,t^{n-1})), \tag{40}
$$

$$
A_3(t,x) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}
$$

where we have $V(x,t) = 1.0$, $\epsilon = 1$, $\Delta x = 0.1, 0.01.0.001$.

We apply the operator splitting schemes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{n+1} \\ \xi^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \quad & \exp(\Delta t\ \tilde{A}_1)\ \exp(\Delta t\ \tilde{A}_2(\eta^n, \xi^n)) \qquad (42) \\ & \cdot \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta t\ (\tilde{A}_3^t \tilde{A}_3) + \tilde{A}_3 \Delta W_t) \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n \\ \xi^n \end{pmatrix}, 1 \le n \le N, \\ & \eta^0 = (\exp(\sin(2x_1)), \ldots, \exp(\sin(2x_M)))^t, \xi^0 = (0, \ldots, 0)^t \qquad (43) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta t = t^{n+1} - t^n$, the random variable W_t is based on a Wiener process with $\Delta W_t = W_{t^{n+1}} - W_{t^n} = \sqrt{\Delta t} X$, and X is a Gaussian distributed random variable with $E(X) = 0$ and $Var(X) = 1$. This means we have $\Delta W_t = rand\sqrt{\Delta t}$.

The splitting operators are

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1(t,x,\eta,\xi) \\ -A_1(t,x,\eta,\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2m}, \qquad (44)$$

$$\tilde{A}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) \\ -A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2m}, \qquad (45)$$

$$\tilde{A}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_3(t,x,\eta,\xi) \\ -A_3(t,x,\eta,\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2m} \qquad (46)$$

We present the different convergent time-steps results for $|u| = \sqrt{(\eta^2 + \xi^2)}$.

The analytical solution is

We apply the operator splitting schemes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{n+1} \\ \xi^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \exp((\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_2(\eta^n, \xi^n) - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{A}_3^t \tilde{A}_3))\Delta t + \tilde{A}_3 \Delta W_t) \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n \\ \xi^n \end{pmatrix}, 1 \le n \le N, \\ & \eta^0 = (\exp(\sin(2x_1)), \ldots, \exp(\sin(2x_M)))^t, \xi^0 = (0, \ldots, 0)^t \qquad (47) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta t = t^{n+1} - t^n$, the random variable W_t is based on a Wiener process with $\Delta W_t = W_{t^{n+1}} - W_{t^n} = \sqrt{\Delta t} X$, and X is a Gaussian distributed random variable with $E(X) = 0$ and $Var(X) = 1$. This means we have $\Delta W_t = rand\sqrt{\Delta t}$.

The solution is given by $|u|$ and the errors are

$$||u_{refer}(x,t) - u_{i,j}(t)||_{L_2(0,T)} = \Delta x \sum_{n=1}^{N} (u_{refer}(x_i,t) - u(x_i,t))^2, \qquad (48)$$

$$E(||u_{refer}(x,t) - u_{i,j}(x,t)||) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |u_{refer}(x_i,t) - u(x_i,t)|, \qquad (49)$$

In the following figures, we present the results for the error of the iterative splitting schemes, see Fig. 1.



Figure 1: The L_2-errors of the iterative splitting scheme



Figure 2: The results of the A–B splitting with $\Delta t = 0.005, \Delta x = 0.005$.

In the following figures, we present the results for the different splitting schemes, see Fig. 2.

Remark 4.1. *With more iterative steps, we see an improvement in the numerical results. With two to three iterative steps, we obtain nearly the analytical solution. Here, we could see the almost asymptotic behaviour of the scheme.*

4.2 Deterministic Schroedinger equation: Perturbations

We consider the following equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Hu, \tag{50}$$

$$Hu = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u + \left(\frac{1}{1+\sin^2(x)} + \lambda|u|^2\right)u, \tag{51}$$

where $\lambda = 30$, $u_0 = \exp(\sin(2x))$.

We employ the following transformation and change of variables:
$u = \eta + i\xi$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\eta} \\ \dot{\xi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A(t,x,\eta,\xi) \\ -A(t,x,\eta,\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \tag{52}$$

$$A(t,x,\eta,\xi) = A_1(t,t) + A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi), \tag{53}$$

$$A_1(t,x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}[1\ -2\ 1], \tag{54}$$

$$A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\sin^2(x)} + \lambda(\eta^2+\xi^2)\right)\ [0\ 1\ 0]. \tag{55}$$

The underlying discretised matrices for the splitting schemes are given as:

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1(t,x) \\ -A_1(t,x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{56}$$

$$\tilde{A}_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1}),x) \tag{57}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1}),x) \\ -A_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1}),x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the next list of schemes we discuss different splitting scheme. The first splitting scheme is known as an A-B splitting or Lie-Trotter splitting scheme, see [13], while we apply multiplicative the different separated operators. The second splitting scheme is known as an iterative splitting scheme, see [5]. Such a scheme apply iteratively the separated operators based on a fix-point approximation, see [7].

We will employ the following splitting schemes:

- A–B splitting

$$u_n = \exp(t\tilde{A}_1)\exp(t\tilde{A}_2)u_{n-1}, 1 \le n \le N, \tag{58}$$

- Strang splitting scheme

$$u_n = \exp(t/2\tilde{A}_1)\exp(t\tilde{A}_2)\exp(t/2\tilde{A}_1)u_{n-1}, 1 \le n \le N, \tag{59}$$

- Weighted Iterative Splitting 1: We define a relaxed iterative splitting method based on the critical value λ:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u_i} &= (\tilde{A}_1 + (1-\omega)\tilde{A}_2)u_i + \omega\tilde{A}_2 u_{i-1}, & (60)\\ &= \hat{A}_1 u_i + \hat{A}_2 u_{i-1}, & (61) \end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{A}_1 = \tilde{A}_1 + (1-\omega)\tilde{A}_2$, $\hat{A}_2 = \omega\tilde{A}_2$ and $\omega = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

The algorithm is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u_1} &= \hat{A}_1 u_1, & (62)\\ \dot{u_2} &= \hat{A}_1 u_2 + \hat{A}_2 u_1, & (63)\\ \dot{u_3} &= \hat{A}_1 u_3 + \hat{A}_2 u_2, & (64)\\ \dot{u_4} &= ... & (65) \end{aligned}$$

and is solved as:

$$c_1(t) = \exp(\hat{A}_1 t) c(t^n), \tag{66}$$

$$c_2(t) = c_1(t) + c_1(t) \int_0^t [\hat{A}_2, \exp(s\hat{A}_1)] ds \ ,$$

$$c_2(t) = c_1(t) + c_1(t) \ [\hat{A}_2, \phi_1(t\hat{A}_1)], \tag{67}$$

$$c_3(t) = c_2(t) + c_1(t) \int_0^t [\hat{A}_2, \exp(s\hat{A}_1)][\hat{A}_2, \phi_1(s\hat{A}_1)] ds \ ,$$

$$c_3(t) = c_2(t) + c_1(t) \ \Big([\hat{A}_2, \exp(t\hat{A}_1)][\hat{A}_2, \phi_2(t\hat{A}_1)] \tag{68}$$

$$+ [\hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_1 \exp(t\hat{A}_1)][\hat{A}_2, \phi_3(t\hat{A}_1)] \Big) + O(t^3) \ ,$$

$$\dots$$

where the given ϕ_i is defined as:

$$\phi_0(\hat{A}_1 t) = \exp(\hat{A}_1 t), \tag{69}$$

$$\phi_i(\hat{A}_1 t) = \int_0^t \phi_{i-1}(\hat{A}_1 s) \ ds, \tag{70}$$

$$\phi_i(\hat{A}_1 t) = \frac{\phi_{i-1}(\hat{A}_1 t) - I \ \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}}{\hat{A}_1}. \tag{71}$$

- Weighted Iterative Splitting 2: We define a relaxed iterative splitting method based on the critical value λ:

$$\dot{u_i} = \tilde{A}_1 u_i + \omega \tilde{A}_2 u_{i-1}, \tag{72}$$

$$\text{with } \ u_i(t^n) = u^n, \tag{73}$$

$$\text{and } u_0(t^n) = u^n \ , \ u_{-1} = 0,$$

$$\dot{u}_{i+1} = \omega \ \tilde{A}_1 u_i(t) \ + \ \tilde{A}_2 u_{i+1}(t), \tag{74}$$

$$\text{with } \ u_{i+1}(t^n) = \omega \ u^n + (1-\omega) \ u_i(t^{n+1}) \ ,$$

where u^n is the known split approximation at the time level $t = t^n$. The split approximation at the time level $t = t^{n+1}$ is defined as $u^{n+1} = u_{2m+1}(t^{n+1})$. The parameter $\omega \in [0, 1]$. For $\omega = 0$, we have the sequential splitting method, and for $\omega = 1$ we have the iterative splitting method.

The following figures present the results for the different splitting schemes, see Fig. 3.

Remark 4.2. *Here, we have compared the standard splitting scheme with our iterative splitting approach. Based on the resolution of the analytical solution, we obtain the same results as for the standard schemes.*



Figure 3: Results of the iterative splitting approach.

4.3 Deterministic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

We consider the equation

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Hu + \epsilon u \circ \frac{dW}{dt},\ t > 0, \epsilon > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{75}$$

$$Hu = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 2|u|^{2\sigma}\right) u, \tag{76}$$

with $\sigma = 1.0$ and $\epsilon = 0.0$.

We choose the initial condition:

$$u|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{sec}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x - 25)) \exp(-i\frac{x}{20}). \tag{77}$$

Then the exact single-soliton solution is

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{sec}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x - \frac{t}{10} - 25)) \exp(-i(\frac{x}{20} + \frac{199}{400}t)). \tag{78}$$

We employ the following transformation and change of variables:
$u = \eta + i\xi$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\eta} \\ \dot{\xi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A(t,x,\eta,\xi) \\ -A(t,x,\eta,\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \tag{79}$$

$$A(t,x,\eta,\xi) = A_1(t,x) + A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi), \tag{80}$$

$$A_1(t,x) = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2}[1\ -2\ 1], \tag{81}$$

$$A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) = \left(2(\eta^2 + \xi^2)^\sigma\right)\ [0\ 1\ 0]. \tag{82}$$

The underlying discretised matrices for the splitting schemes are

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1(t,x) \\ -A_1(t,x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{83}$$

$$\tilde{A}_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1}),x) \tag{84}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1},x)) \\ -A_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1}),x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the following splitting schemes:

- A–B splitting

$$u_n = \exp(t\tilde{A}_1)\exp(t\tilde{A}_2)u_{n-1}, 1 \leq n \leq N, \tag{85}$$

- Strang splitting scheme

$$u_n = \exp(t/2\tilde{A}_1)\exp(t\tilde{A}_2)\exp(t/2\tilde{A}_1)u_{n-1}, 1 \leq n \leq N, \tag{86}$$

- Weighted Iterative Splitting 1: We define a relaxed iterative splitting method based on the critical value λ:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u_i} &= (\tilde{A}_1 + (1-\omega)\tilde{A}_2)u_i + \omega\tilde{A}_2 u_{i-1}, & (87)\\ &= \hat{A}_1 u_i + \hat{A}_2 u_{i-1}, & (88) \end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{A}_1 = \tilde{A}_1 + (1-\omega)\tilde{A}_2$, $\hat{A}_2 = \omega\tilde{A}_2$ and $\omega = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

The algorithm is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u_1} &= \hat{A}_1 u_1, & (89)\\ \dot{u_2} &= \hat{A}_1 u_2 + \hat{A}_2 u_1, & (90)\\ \dot{u_3} &= \hat{A}_1 u_3 + \hat{A}_2 u_2, & (91)\\ \dot{u_4} &= ... & (92) \end{aligned}$$

and is solved as

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(t) &= \exp(\hat{A}_1 t)c(t^n), & (93)\\ c_2(t) &= c_1(t) + c_1(t) \int_0^t [\hat{A}_2, \exp(s\hat{A}_1)]ds \ , \\ c_2(t) &\approx c_1(t) + c_1(t)\ t[\hat{A}_2, \exp(t\hat{A}_1)], & (94) \end{aligned}$$

The following figures present the results for the different splitting schemes, see Fig. 4.

We apply $|u|$ for each solution and obtain the following errors:

$$||u_{refer}(x,t) - u_{i,j}(t)||_{L_2(0,T)} = \Delta x \sum_{n=1}^{N} (u_{refer}(x_i,t) - u(x_i,t))^2, \tag{95}$$

$$E(||u_{refer}(x,t) - u_{i,j}(x,t)||) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |u_{refer}(x_i,t) - u(x_i,t)|, \tag{96}$$



Figure 4: Results of the iterative splitting approach.



Figure 5: The L_2-errors of the different splitting schemes, where in the left figure, we have $\Delta t = 0.002$ and $\Delta x = 0.01$ and in the right figure, we have $\Delta t = 0.002$ and $\Delta x = 0.02$.

The following figures present the results for the errors of the iterative splitting schemes, see Fig. 5.

Remark 4.3. *In both resolution in time and space the iterative splitting method is more accurate than the standard A–B and Strang splitting schemes. Here, we see an improvement based on the successive approximation idea and obtain a more accurate linearisation than for the standard schemes.*

4.4 Stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

We consider the equation

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Hu + \epsilon u \circ \frac{dW}{dt},\ t > 0, \epsilon > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{97}$$

$$Hu = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 2|u|^{2\sigma}\right) u, \tag{98}$$

with $\sigma = 1.0$ and $\epsilon = 0.0$.

We choose the initial condition

$$u|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sec(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x-25)) \exp(-i\frac{x}{20}). \tag{99}$$

For the reference solution, we apply a fine resolution Strang splitting. We employ the following transformation and change of variables: $u = \eta + i\xi$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\eta} \\ \dot{\xi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A(t,x,\eta,\xi) \\ -A(t,x,\eta,\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \tag{100}$$

$$A(t,x,\eta,\xi) = A_1(t,x) + A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) + A_3(t,x), \tag{101}$$

$$A_1(t,x) = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2}[1 \ -2 \ 1], \tag{102}$$

$$A_2(t,x,\eta,\xi) = \left(2(\eta^2+\xi^2)^\sigma\right) \ [0 \ 1 \ 0], \tag{103}$$

$$A_3(t,x) = \epsilon \ \Delta W \ [0 \ 1 \ 0], \tag{104}$$

$$\tag{105}$$

The underlying discretised matrices for the splitting schemes are

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1(t,x) \\ -A_1(t,x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{106}$$

$$\tilde{A}_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1}),x) \tag{107}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1},x)) \\ -A_2(t,x,\eta(t^{n-1},x),\xi(t^{n-1}),x) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{A}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_3(t,x) \\ -A_3(t,x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{108}$$

and

$$\tilde{A}_4 = \tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_2. \tag{109}$$

- A–B splitting

  We apply the operator splitting schemes as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta^{n+1} \\ \xi^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \exp(\Delta t \ \tilde{A}_1) \ \exp(\Delta t \ \tilde{A}_2(\eta^n,\xi^n)) \tag{110}$$

$$\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta t \ (\tilde{A}_3^t\tilde{A}_3) + \tilde{A}_3\Delta W_t) \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n \\ \xi^n \end{pmatrix}, 1 \le n \le N,$$

$$\eta^0(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sec(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i-25)) \cos(-\frac{x_i}{20}), i = 1,\ldots,M, \tag{111}$$

$$xi^0(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sec(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i-25)) \sin(-\frac{x_i}{20}), i = 1,\ldots,M, \tag{112}$$

where $\Delta t = t^{n+1} - t^n$, the random variable W_t is based on a Wiener process with $\Delta W_t = W_{t^{n+1}} - W_{t^n} = \sqrt{\Delta t} X$, and X is a Gaussian distributed random variable with $E(X) = 0$ and $Var(X) = 1$. This means we have $\Delta W_t = rand\sqrt{\Delta t}$.

- Iterative splitting scheme:

  First iterative step

$$X_{1,n}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{n+1} \\ \xi^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\Delta t \ \tilde{A}_4) \begin{pmatrix} \eta^n \\ \xi^n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{113}$$

  Second iterative step

$$X_{2,n}(t) = X_{1,n}(t) + X_{1,n}(t)[\tilde{A}_3, \int_0^t \exp(\tilde{A}_4 s) dW_s], \quad t \in (t^n, t^{n+1}],$$
$$X_{2,n}(t) = X_{1,n}(t) + X_{1,n}(t)[\tilde{A}_3, C_1(t)], \quad t \in (t^n, t^{n+1}],$$

  The stochastic integral is computed as a Stratonovich integral:

$$C_1(t) = \int_0^t \exp(As) dW_s \tag{114}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \exp(A(\frac{t_j + t_{j+1}}{2})) \ (W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)),$$
$$\Delta t = t/N, t_j = \Delta t + t_{j-1}, t_0 = 0. \tag{115}$$

We apply $|u|$ for each solution and deal with the following errors:

$$||u_{refer}(x,t) - u_{i,j}(t)||_{L_2(0,T)} = \Delta x \ \sum_{n=1}^{N} (u_{refer}(x_i,t) - u(x_i,t))^2, \tag{116}$$

$$E(||u_{refer}(x,t) - u_{i,j}(x,t)||) = \frac{1}{N} \ \sum_{n=1}^{N} |u_{refer}(x_i,t) - u(x_i,t)|. \tag{117}$$

The following figures present the results for the error of the iterative splitting schemes, see Fig. 6.

Remark 4.4. *In both resolution in time and space the iterative splitting method is more accurate than the standard A–B and Strang splitting schemes. Here, we obtain an improvement based on the successive approximation scheme.*

5 Conclusion

We discuss the problems of using novel iterative splitting schemes to solve stochastic nonlinear Schroedinger equations. We could prove the almost asymptotic symplectic behaviour of the novel scheme. The improvement with more



Figure 6: The L_2-errors of the different splitting scheme, where we compare them to the solution obtained from a fine resolution iterative splitting scheme

iterative steps allows resolving the nonlinearity and obtaining an improved symplectic scheme. While standard splitting schemes have drawbacks as regards linearisation and symplecticity, we could derive a combination of both higher accuracy and conservation of the symplecticity. In the future, we will take into account larger equation systems for a realistic application.

References

[1] A. Aubry and P. Chartier. *Pseudo-symplectic Runge-Kutta methods.* BIT, 38:439-461, 1998.

[2] M.A. Austin, P.S. Krishnaprasad, and L. Wang. *Almost Poisson integration of rigid body systems.* Journal of Comput. Phys., 107, 105-117, 1993.

[3] J. Geiser. Numerical Simulation of a Model for Transport and Reaction of Radionuclides. *Proceedings of the Large Scale Scientific Computations of Engineering and Environmental Problems, Sozopol, Bulgaria, 2001.*

[4] J. Geiser. *Decomposition Methods for Partial Differential Equations: Theory and Applications in Multiphysics Problems.* Numerical Analysis and Scientific Computing Series, CRC Press, Chapman & Hall/CRC, edited by Magoules and Lai, 2009.

[5] J. Geiser. *Consistency of Iterative Operator-Splitting Methdod: Theory and Applications.* Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 26(1): 135-158, 2010.

[6] J. Geiser. Multiscale splitting for stochastic differential equations: applications in particle collisions. *Journal of Coupled Systems and Multiscale Dynamics*, American Scientific Publishers, Valencia, CA, USA, August 2013.

[7] J. Geiser. *Iterative Splitting Methods for Differential Equations.* Numerical Analysis and Scientific Computing Series, CRC Press, Chapman & Hall/CRC, edited by Magoules and Lai, 2011.

[8] A. Jentzen and P.E. Kloeden. The numerical approximation of stochastic partial differential equations. *Milan J. Math.*, 77(1):205–244, 2009.

[9] S. Jiang, L. Wang and J. Hong. Stochastic Multi-Symplectic Integrator for Stochastic Nonlinear Schroedinger Equation. *Commun. Comput. Phys.*, 14(2):393–411, 2013.

[10] P.E. Kloeden und E. Platen. *The Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[11] C. Schober. Symplectic integrators for the Ablowitz–Ladik discrete nonlinear Schroedinger equation. *Phys. Lett. A*, 259:140–151, 1999.

[12] X. Tan. Almost symplectic Runge–Kutta schemes for Hamiltonian systems. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 203(1):250–273, 2005.

[13] H.F. Trotter. *On the product of semi-groups of operators.* Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 10(4): 545-551, 1959.